

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/601,958	06/23/2003	Chun-Yi Yang	MXIC-P910252 8883			
7:	590 03/02/2005		EXAMINER			
Kenton R. Mullins			HA, NATHAN W			
Stout, Uxa, Buyan & Mullins, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4 Venture	Suite 300 4 Venture			2814		
Irvine, CA 92618			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,958	YANG, CHUN-YI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan W. Ha	2814	

Defere the Filipp of an Annual Brief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan W. Ha	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of				
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 17-19. Claim(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The after final amendment includes new issues. See claim 6 and new claims 31-35.

HOAI PHAM